arXiv:1601.02783v2 [math.GT] 19 Dec 2016

THE EQUATION OF THE KENYON-SMILLIE $(2,3,4)$-TEICHMÜLLER CURVE

MATTEO COSTANTINI AND ANDRÉ KAPPES

Abstract. We compute the algebraic equation of the universal family over the Kenyon-Smillie $(2,3,4)$-Teichmüller curve and we prove that the equation is correct in two different ways.

Firstly, we prove it in a constructive way via linear conditions imposed by three special points of the Teichmüller curve. Secondly, we verify that the equation is correct by computing its associated Picard-Fuchs equation.

We also notice that each point of the Teichmüller curve has a hyperflex and we see that the torsion map is a central projection from this point.

1. Introduction

Almost all known primitive Teichmüller curves fall in very few series. Currently, an infinite series in genus 2 is known by independent work of Calta and McMullen ([McM03], [Cal04]), which generalizes to the construction of the infinite Prym families ([McM06]). In addition, there is the infinite series of Bouw-Möller curves ([BM10b]) generalizing [Vee89] and [War98]. Moreover, recently McMullen, Mukamel and Wright [MMW16] discovered a new series of Teichmüller curves in genus 4.

There are only two known primitive Teichmüller curves that do not belong to any of these families. One of them parametrizes all affine deformations $\{(S_t,\omega_t)\}_t$ of the translation surface $(S,\omega)\in\Omega\mathcal{M}_3(3,1)$ that is obtained from unfolding a Euclidean triangle with angles $(\frac{2\pi}{9},\frac{3\pi}{9},\frac{4\pi}{9})$. It was discovered by Kenyon and Smillie [KS00], who proved that (S,ω) is a lattice surface with Veech group equal to the triangle group $\Delta(9,\infty,\infty)$. The translation surface (S,ω) is the order 9 orbifold point of its associated Teichmüller curve, which is uniformized by $\mathbb{H}/\Delta(9,\infty,\infty)$.

The equation. In this paper, we discuss the Kenyon-Smillie $(2,3,4)$-Teichmüller curve from an algebro-geometric perspective. First, we derive the equations of the algebraic curves $\{S_t\}_t$ parametrized by this Teichmüller curve.

Theorem 1.1. *The universal family over the complement of the orbifold point of the Kenyon-Smillie $(2,3,4)$-Teichmüller curve is given by the family of plane quartics satisfying the equation*

$$\begin{aligned}X^4+t(X^4-3X^3Y+6X^3Z-3X^2Y^2-6X^2YZ+6X^2Z^2+4XY^3\\ -6XY^2Z-6XYZ^2+XZ^3+3Y^4+3Y^3Z)=0\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where t varies in $\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,1,\infty\}$.

The triple zero of the differential ω_t is the point $P_t=(0:0:1)\in S_t$ and the simple zero is the point $Q_t=(0:1:-1)\in S_t$.

Date: December 20, 2016.

Since this Teichmüller curve has one orbifold point, which is $t = 0$ in our presentation, the family we give is universal only over the complement of this point and there is no universal family over the whole Teichmüller curve. See Equation (4.3) for the universal family over a cover of the full Teichmüller curve.

We prove Theorem 1.1 in two different ways. Firstly we prove it constructing the equation using considerations on the conditions imposed by the three special points of the Teichmüller curve, the orbifold point S_0 and the two cusps S_1 and S_∞. Secondly, we show again that Equation (1.1) defines a Teichmüller curve by constructing the Picard-Fuchs differential equation associated with the variation of Hodge structures over the Teichmüller curve.

The Torsion map. By [Möl06a], the difference between two zeros of ω_t is a torsion point of the Jacobian of S_t. Thus, there is a minimal n such that for all t, the divisor $n(P_t - Q_t)$ is the divisor of a meromorphic function, which we call the *torsion map* Tor. Using Theorem 1.1, we can explicitly determine this map for the Kenyon-Smillie Teichmüller curve and we notice that Q_t is a hyperflex for every t.

Proposition 1.2. *The torsion map is given by the projection from Q_t, which is the map induced by the linear system $|K_{S_t}(-Q_t)|$. The point Q_t is a hyperflex for every t, which means that the tangent to S_t in Q_t is of order 4.*



FIGURE 1. Real points of the curve S_t for $t = 3$ near $P_t = (0, 0)$ and the hyperflex $Q_t = (0, -1)$.

In the above coordinates, the torsion map is the degree 3 map totally ramified over P_t and Q_t given by

$$\text{Tor} : S_t \to \mathbb{P}^1, \quad \begin{cases} (X : Y : Z) \mapsto (X : Y + Z) & \text{for } (X : Y : Z) \neq (0 : 1 : -1) \\ Q_t = (0 : 1 : -1) \mapsto (-1 : 1) \end{cases}$$

We will give also a proof of the above Proposition in which we do not use the explicit equation of the universal family. Indeed, it is enough to know that the Teichmüller curve parametrizes quartics in $\mathbb{P}^2$ together with a differential in the stratum $(3, 1)$.

Notice that, since every S_t has a hyperflex in Q_t, the Teichmüller curve is also in the image of the projection of the stratum $\Omega\mathcal{M}_3(4)^{\text{odd}}$, which is a divisor in $\mathcal{M}_3$.

The initial motivation of the paper was to investigate a question of Alex Wright about the relation between real multiplication and the torsion map. One can see such a relation in the Veech-Ward-Bouw-Möller Teichmüller curves, where real multiplication is induced by the correspondence given by the graph of an automorphism coming from the Galois normalization map (see Section 4.7 for details). As in the Veech-Ward-Bouw-Möller case, the Kenyon-Smillie Teichmüller curve is also uniformized by a triangle group. However, we will deduce from Proposition 1.2 that for the Kenyon-Smillie-Teichmüller curve, real multiplication does not come from the normalization of the torsion map. This gives some evidence in support of the Kenyon-Smillie example being sporadic.

Picard-Fuchs equation. Given only Equation (1.1), we can prove independently that it is indeed the equation of the universal family over a Teichmüller curve by computing the Picard-Fuchs equation satisfied by the periods of ω_t and by employing Möller's characterization of Teichmüller curves.

Proposition 1.3. *The periods of ω_t are solutions of the following differential equation:*

$$\frac{16}{81t(t-1)}y + \frac{17t-8}{9t(t-1)}y' + y'' = 0.$$

Note that this equation is a hypergeometric differential equation, meaning that it has three regular singular points.

The main ingredient to compute the above Picard-Fuchs equation is the well-known Griffiths-Dwork method, which is an algorithm for computing Picard-Fuchs equations of family of projective hypersurfaces.

As an immediate consequence, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4. *The section ω_t defines a maximal Higgs, irreducible, rank 2 subbundle of the flat bundle defined by the variation of Hodge structures.*

By the characterization of Teichmüller curves of [Möl06b, Theorem 5.3], Corollary 1.4 shows again that Equation (1.1) defines a Teichmüller curve.

Structure of the paper. In Section 2, we set up the notation and we gather known facts about the Kenynon-Smillie Teichmüller curve and the splitting of $H^0(S_t, \Omega^1)$ into one-dimensional eigenspaces given by real multiplication on the Jacobian of S_t. In Section 3, the three special points, the two cusps and the orbifold point, of the Kenyon-Smillie-curve are discussed. Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2. The starting point is that every non-hyperelliptic curve in genus 3 is a canonically embedded quartic in $\mathbb{P}^2$. The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the use of a structural result for the relative canonical ring of a family of quartics of [CP06]. This, together with the computation of the degree of the eigenspace bundles, enables us to obtain an a priori bound on the degree of the coefficients of the family. It then suffices to consider the three special points on the Teichmüller curve to compute these coefficients. In Section 5, we derive the Picard-Fuchs equation proving Proposition 1.3 and we show again that Equation (1.1) defines a Teichmüller curve.

Notes and references. The strategy that we use to determine the equation of the universal family has already been successfully implemented before by Bouw and Möller [BM10a], who have worked out the equations of two of the Weierstraß

curves in genus two. The main challenge is to find a suitable structural result about the canonical ring of quartic hypersurfaces analogous to the one used by Bouw and Möller for genus two curves.

For an algebraic description of the other Weierstraß curves in genus two with fundamental discriminant $D < 100$, see [KM14]. The methods that they use can be applied to other Weierstraß curves in genus two and possibly also to the Prym curves in genus three and four.

The second Teichmüller curve that is not known to belong to an infinite series has been found by Vorobets [Vor96] and is generated by the surface in $\Omega\mathcal{M}_4(6)$ obtained from unfolding a billiard in a triangle with angles $(\frac{\pi}{5}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{7\pi}{15})$. To the best of our knowledge, no algebro-geometric construction for its Teichmüller curve is known so far.

It is an open question, whether these two Teichmüller curves are truly sporadic. One argument in support of this hypothesis is given in [Lei04, Section 7], from which one can deduce that these Teichmüller curves do not fit into a family, where the Veech group is generated by two Dehn multi-twists. Since not all parabolics are multi-twists, this is however not enough to prove sporadicity among Veech groups generated by two parabolics. It is also worth noting that both the Kenyon-Smillie Teichmüller curve and the Vorobets Teichmüller curve correspond to two of the three exceptional billiard triangles that are naturally attached to the sporadic Coxeter groups E_6, E_7 and E_8.

Notice that, curiously, the Kenyon-Smillie Teichmüller curve is the only known primitive Teichmüller curve where the zeros of the differential have different orders and the only known primitive Teichmüller curve that does not possess an involution negating ω.

It would be interesting to find the correspondence that gives real multiplication for the Kenyon-Smillie Teichmüller curve. A related open question is whether real multiplication for this curve is of Hecke type as in the Veech-Ward-Bouw-Möller case (cf. [Wri13]).

Acknowledgements. We thank Martin Möller for suggesting this project to us and for many fruitful and valuable discussions, and Alex Wright for his helpful comments on an early draft of this paper and in particular for pointing out to us how peculiar the Kenyon-Smillie-Teichmüller curve really is. We would also like to thank an anonymous referee for the helpful comments about the presentation. Many computations were carried out with the help of [PAR], and we thank the developers for their work.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we gather building blocks for the proof of the main theorem. We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic notions on Teichmüller curves. For background reading one may consult for example [Möl13].

2.1. **Orbifold uniformization and universal family.**

Let C denote the Kenyon-Smillie-Teichmüller curve associated with the flat surface (S, ω) shown in Figure 2.

In [KS00], Kenyon and Smillie prove that the Veech group of (S, ω) is the triangle group $\Delta(9, \infty, \infty)$. Thus, C is uniformized by $\mathbb{H}/\Delta(9, \infty, \infty)$ and the completion of C is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$. Let $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$ be a parameter such that $t = 0$ is the orbifold point of order 9, $t = 1$ is the cusp correspondig to the limit deformation of the



FIGURE 2. The Kenyon-Smillie $(2, 3, 4)$-lattice surface resulting from unfolding the triangle Δ. Sides are labeled by powers of $\zeta_9 = \exp(2\pi i/9)$ and sides with the same label are identified. The triple (simple) zero is marked by a white (black) dot.

horizontal cylinder decomposition of (S, ω) and $t = \infty$ the cusp corresponding to the vertical one. Let S_t denote the (stable) Riemann surface parametrized by t and let ω_t denote the (stable) holomorphic 1-form on S_t that is obtained by affinely deforming ω. By our choice of t, we have $(S, \omega) = (S_0, \omega_0)$. Let further P_t, $Q_t \in S_t$ be such that

$$\operatorname{div}(\omega_t) = 3P_t + Q_t.$$

We will abbreviate $P = P_0$ and $Q = Q_0$.

To avoid working with orbifold line bundles, we pass to a finite étale covering $\tilde{C}$ of C. In order to unfold the orbifold structure of C, we perform the 9-sheeted covering

$$\tilde{C} \to \mathbb{P}^1, \quad s \mapsto t = s^9$$

which is totally ramified over the orbifold point and the cusp at ∞. The corresponding subgroup Γ' of the Veech group is free and the quotient by Γ' of the universal family over the Teichmüller disk gives the family of curves $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \tilde{C}$. By the Riemann-Hurwitz theorem the genus of $\tilde{C}$ is still 0 and by construction it has 10 cusps, which are given by the points $\{\zeta_9^i, \infty\}_{i=1,\dots,9}$ where $\zeta_9 := \exp(2\pi i/9)$.

Let $\overline{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ denote the completion of $\tilde{C}$. The family $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is extended to the family $\overline{\phi} : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{C}$, by adding stable curves at the cusps of $\tilde{C}$.

2.2. **Absolute cohomology bundle.** The *absolute cohomology bundle*, which will come up in Section 5, is the flat vector bundle on $\tilde{C}$ with fiber $H^1(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_s, \mathbb{C})$ over a point $s \in \tilde{C}$. Formally, it is the vector bundle associated with the locally constant sheaf $R^1\tilde{\phi}_* \mathbb{Q}$. It has a canonical extension, due to Deligne, to a vector bundle on

all of $\overline{C}$, which we will still refer to as the absolute cohomology bundle. In the context of Teichmüller dynamics, this bundle is often called Hodge bundle, but we will not use this name, since in algebraic geometry, the Hodge bundle is the push forward of the relative canonical bundle.

2.3. **Real multiplication.** By [Möl06b, Theorem 2.7], the Jacobian of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s$ has real multiplication by the trace field $\mathbb{K}(S,\omega)$, which in our case is the cubic number field

$$\mathbb{K}(S,\omega) = \mathbb{Q}(v), \qquad v = 2\cos\left(\tfrac{2\pi}{9}\right).$$

In particular, C is an algebraically primitive Teichmüller curve, i. e. $g(\mathcal{X}_s) = \deg(\mathbb{K}\,/\,\mathbb{Q})$. Note that v is a root of $P(v) = v^3 - 3v + 1$. The field $\mathbb{K}(S,\omega)$ has three embeddings σ_i, $i = 1,2,3$, into $\mathbb{R}$. We denote the image of an element $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}(X,\omega)$ under the i-th embedding by $\lambda^{(i)}$. We order the three embeddings by requiring that

$$v^{(1)} = v, \qquad v^{(2)} = 2 - v - v^2, \qquad v^{(3)} = -2 + v^2.$$

Having real multiplication implies that the direct image $\mathcal{V} := \overline{\phi}_* \omega_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}/\overline{C}}$ of the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}/\overline{C}}$, is a rank 3 vector bundle which splits as a direct sum of three line bundles

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} \mathcal{L}_i$$

where $\mathcal{L}_i$ is the eigenspace bundle for real multiplication via the i-th embedding.

2.4. **Harder-Narasimhan filtration and degrees of eigenspace bundles.** We order the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_i$ in such a way that $\mathcal{L}_1$ is the one that is generated by ω_s (and is therefore maximal Higgs by [Möl06b]), and such that $\mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2$ is the next step in the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $\mathcal{V}$ (see [BHM14, Prop. 4.3]).

This ordering is the leading normalization used in the whole paper. The ordering of the Galois embeddings made above fits together the ordering of the corresponding eigenspace bundles as one can see from the next remark and by looking at the differentials over the cusps given in Section 3.

Remark 2.1. The ordering of the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_i$ is also reflected in the divisors of its sections. If we let $\omega^{(i)}$ denote a local section of $\mathcal{L}_i$ ($i = 1,2,3$), then by [BHM14, Prop. 4.1]

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{div}(\omega_s^{(1)}) = 3P_s + Q_s \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s) \\ &\operatorname{div}(\omega_s^{(2)}) \geq P_s \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Since $\overline{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k_i)$, where $k_i = \deg(\mathcal{L}_i)$, and these degrees are readily computed.

Lemma 2.2. *The degrees of the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_i$ over $\overline{C}$ are given by*

$$\deg(\mathcal{L}_1) = 4 \qquad \deg(\mathcal{L}_2) = 2 \qquad \deg(\mathcal{L}_3) = 1$$

Proof. First of all note that

$$\deg(\Omega^1_{\overline{C}}(\log(S)) = -\chi(\tilde{C}) = -(\chi(\overline{C}) - \#\text{cusps}) = 8.$$

Since we have a splitting of $\mathcal{V}$ into line bundles, we can consider the numbers

$$\lambda_i = \frac{2\deg(\mathcal{L}_i)}{\deg(\Omega^1_{\overline{C}}(\log(S))} = \frac{1}{4}\deg(\mathcal{L}_i).$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_1$ is maximal Higgs, $\lambda_1 = 1$.

The quotient of the degrees of the steps of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration and the degree of $\Omega^1_{\overline{C}}(\log(S)$ were computed in [YZ13, Table 1] for the $(3,1)$-Stratum under the name w_i of Weierstraß exponents by analyzing filtrations of the relative dualizing sheaf given by Weierstraß gap sequences. Using the same method, [BHM14, Prop. 4.3] shows that the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $\mathcal{V}$ is given by the filtration of eigenspace bundles. By our ordering choice, this filtration is

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2 \subset \mathcal{V}\,.$$

Hence, since we established that the λ_i are the same as w_i, we see from [YZ13, Table 1] that $\lambda_2 = \dfrac{1}{2},\ \lambda_3 = \dfrac{1}{4}$ and we can conclude.

□

Remark 2.3. By Kontsevich's formula (see e. g. [BM10b, Theorem 9.2]), the λ_i are the Lyapunov exponents of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle on C.

3. Special points of the Teichmüller curve

In this section, we study the three special points of the Kenyon-Smillie Teichmüller curve. We compute how real multiplication acts in the orbifold point and we compute the equations of the orbifold point and the two cusps as plane quartics in the distinguished coordinate system given by sections in the eigenform line bundles.

3.1. **Orbifold point.** The orbifold point of the Teichmüller curve corresponds to the surface (S,ω), which admits an automorphism of order 9. We want to compute the action of this automorphism on the space of holomorphic differentials.

Proposition 3.1. *The translation surface (S,ω) corresponding to the orbifold point on C is the complete non-singular curve $\tilde{S}$ with singular model given by the affine equation*

$$y^9 = x^2(x-1)^3.$$

The curve $\tilde{S}$ is a 9-sheeted cyclic covering of $\mathbb{P}^1$ totally branched over 0 and ∞ and branched of order 3 over 1. The deck transformation is given by

$$g : (x,y) \mapsto (x, \zeta_9 \cdot y).$$

Up to scalar multiples, the eigendifferentials for real multiplication $\omega_0^{(i)}$ are given by

$$\omega_0^{(1)} = \frac{y\,\mathrm{d}x}{x(x-1)}, \quad \omega_0^{(2)} = \frac{y^5\mathrm{d}x}{x^2(x-1)^2}, \quad \omega_0^{(3)} = \frac{y^7\mathrm{d}x}{x^2(x-1)^3}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Up to isomorphism, there is only one curve in genus 3 admitting a $\mathbb{Z}/9\,\mathbb{Z}$-action (cf. [KK77]), so (S,ω) and $\tilde{S}$ are isomorphic. To see that the given differentials form a basis of holomorphic differentials see [Bou01]. The divisors of these differentials are given by

$$\mathrm{div}(\omega_0^{(1)}) = 3p + q, \quad \mathrm{div}(\omega_0^{(2)}) = p + \sum_{i=1}^{3} l_i, \quad \mathrm{div}(\omega_0^{(3)}) = 4q$$

where p is the preimage of $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$, q is the preimage of $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and l_i are the three preimages of $1 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ under the cyclic covering map.

Note that the cyclic group generated by g must be the same as the cyclic group generated by the automorphism of the flat surface (S,ω). Hence $\omega_0^{(1)}$ in (3.1) is proportional to ω, since it is the only eigendifferential of g^* with the right divisor. By the construction of real multiplication on Teichmüller curves, we now know that its restriction to the fiber over 0 is given by the action of the totally real subfield $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_9+\zeta_9^{-1}) = \mathbb{Q}(v) \leq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_9)$. Therefore, the $\omega_0^{(i)}$ given in (3.1) are indeed eigendifferentials for the action of real multiplication, and from their divisors and expression (2.1) we see that $\omega_0^{(i)} \in (\mathcal{L}_i)_0$. □

Corollary 3.2. *The action of the order 9 automorphism g on $H^0(S,\Omega^1)$ with respect to the basis $\{\omega_0^{(i)}\}$ is given by the diagonal matrix*

$$A_g = \mathrm{diag}(\zeta,\zeta^5,\zeta^7) \in \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}).$$

Even though we will not need it in the next sections, it is nice to present the smooth quartic model of the curve S given via the canonical embedding.

Corollary 3.3. *Up to isomorphism, the curve $S = S_0$ is given as the vanishing locus in $\mathbb{P}^2$ of*

$$F_0(X,Y,Z) = X^4 + XZ^3 + 3Y^3Z.$$

Proof. One checks that putting $X = -\zeta_3\omega_0^{(1)}$, $Y = \sqrt[3]{\frac{\zeta_3}{3}}\omega_0^{(2)}$, $Z = \omega_0^{(3)}$, where ζ_3 is a third root of unity, we obtain the above relation in $\mathrm{H}^0(S,\omega_S^4)$. The normalizing coefficients are put in order to have an equation consistent with the choices made later on. □

3.2. **Cusps.** One of the two cusps of the Teichmüller curve is an irreducible stable curve, while the other one is reducible. We choose t such that the reducible stable curve lies over $t=\infty$ and the irreducible stable curve over $t=1$.

FIGURE 3. Dual graphs of the two cusps of the Teichmüller curve. The vertices represent the connected components and the edges correspond to the nodes of the stable curves associated with the cusps.

Recall that the topological type of a cusp is obtained by contracting the core curves of cylinders in the cylinder decomposition of (S,ω) in the periodic direction corresponding to the cusp (see for example [Möl13, Prop. 5.9]).

3.3. **Reducible cusp.** The reducible cusp corresponds to the vertical cylinder decomposition of (S,ω) shown in Figure 4.



FIGURE 4. Vertical cylinder decomposition of S_∞ with cylinders A, B, C, D (from light to dark).

Proposition 3.4. *a) The stable curve $(S_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ consists of two $\mathbb{P}^1$*

$$S_\infty = T \cup U$$

that meet at 3 points B, C, D. The only other singular point is a node A, where T is glued to itself.

b) The projective tuple of residues of the stable differential is given by

$$(r_A : r_B : r_C : r_D) = (-v^2 - v + 3 \ : \ 1 \ : \ v^2 - 3 \ : \ -v^2 + 2).$$

c) Up to scaling and isomorphism, the stable differential ω_∞ on the normalization is given by

$$\omega_\infty\big|_T = \mu_\infty \left(\frac{r_A}{z-1} + \frac{-r_A}{z+1} + \frac{r_B}{z-B} + \frac{r_C}{z-C} + \frac{r_D}{z-D} \right) dz \tag{3.2}$$

$$\omega_\infty\big|_U = \mu_\infty \left(\frac{-r_B}{z} + \frac{-r_C}{z-1} + \frac{-r_D}{z+1} \right) dz \tag{3.3}$$

where

$B = \frac{1}{17}(-2v^2 + 6v + 5)$, $C = \frac{1}{17}(-6v^2 - 8v + 13)$, $D = \frac{1}{17}(8v^2 + 2v - 15)$,

and $\mu_\infty = v^2 + 2v - 2$.

The factor μ_∞ in front is chosen in such a way that the equation for the stable curve in Corollary 3.5 has rational coefficients.

Proof. The topological type of S_∞ and the projective tuple of residues of the stable differential can be read off directly from the flat picture. The cusp at ∞ corresponds to replacing the cylinders of the cylinder decomposition of S in the vertical direction (see Figure 4) by infinitely long cylinders. The residues of the stable differential ω_∞

at the nodes are given by the circumferences of the cylinders up to simultaneous multiplication by a non-zero scalar.

We identify the normalization of T with $\mathbb{P}^1$ by sending the two preimages of A to 1 and -1 and the triple zero of ω_∞ to 0. One checks that there are precisely two differentials on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with simple poles at $1, -1$ and at three other points B, C, D with the residues given above and a threefold zero at $P = 0$. These two differentials corresponds to the triples

$$B_1 = \tfrac{1}{17}(-2v^2 + 6v + 5), \quad C_1 = \tfrac{1}{17}(-6v^2 - 8v + 13), \quad D_1 = \tfrac{1}{17}(8v^2 + 2v - 15)$$

and

$$B_2 = \tfrac{1}{19}(6v^2 + 18v - 21), \quad C_2 = \tfrac{1}{19}(-18v^2 - 12v + 27), \quad D_2 = \tfrac{1}{19}(12v^2 - 6v - 33).$$

After applying Galois conjugation to the residues, exchanging $v = v^{(1)}$ with $v^{(2)}$, by Remark 2.1 the Galois conjugate $\omega_\infty^{(2)}$ has to have a zero at $z = 0$. One checks that only the differential corresponding to the triple (B_1, C_1, D_1) has this property.

In the same way, we identify U with $\mathbb{P}^1$ by sending the three nodes B, C, D to 0, 1 and -1 and we obtain $\omega_\infty\big|_U$. □

Corollary 3.5. *Up to isomorphism, the stable curve S_∞ is given as the vanishing locus in $\mathbb{P}^2$ of*

$$\begin{aligned} F_\infty(X,Y,Z) &= X^4 - 3X^3Y + 6X^3Z - 3X^2Y^2 - 6X^2YZ + 6X^2Z^2 \\ &\quad + 4XY^3 - 6XY^2Z - 6XYZ^2 + XZ^3 + 3Y^4 + 3Y^3Z \\ &= (X + Y + Z) \\ &\quad \cdot (X^3 - 4X^2Y + 5X^2Z + XY^2 - 7XYZ + XZ^2 + 3Y^3). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. One checks that putting $X = \omega_\infty^{(1)}$, $Y = \omega_\infty^{(2)}$, $Z = \omega_\infty^{(3)}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\infty^{(i)}\big|_T &= \mu_\infty^{(i)} \left(\frac{r_A^{(i)}}{z-1} + \frac{-r_A^{(i)}}{z+1} + \frac{r_B^{(i)}}{z-B} + \frac{r_C^{(i)}}{z-C} + \frac{r_D^{(i)}}{z-D} \right) dz \\ \omega_\infty^{(i)}\big|_U &= \mu_\infty^{(i)} \left(\frac{-r_B^{(i)}}{z} + \frac{-r_C^{(i)}}{z-1} + \frac{-r_D^{(i)}}{z+1} \right) dz \qquad (i = 1, 2, 3) \end{aligned}$$

are the three Galois conjugates of ω_∞, we obtain the above relation in $\mathrm{H}^0(S_\infty, \omega_{S_\infty}^4)$. □

3.4. **Irreducible cusp.** The irreducible cusp and its stable differential has been described in [BM12, Example 13.8]. We recall their description. It is obtained from the horizontal cylinder decomposition of S_0 shown in Figure 5 by replacing each half of a cylinder by a half-infinite strip of the same width.

Proposition 3.6. *a) The stable curve S_1 is isomorphic to a $\mathbb{P}^1$ with 3 pairs of points identified.*

b) The projective tuple of residues of the stable differential ω_1 is given by

$$(r_1 : r_2 : r_3) = (-v^2 - v \ : \ v + 1 \ : \ -2v^2 - 3v + 2).$$



FIGURE 5. Horizontal cylinder decomposition of S_0 with cylinders C_1, C_2, C_3 (from light to dark).

c) Up to scaling and isomorphism, the stable differential ω_1 on the normalization is given by

$$\omega_1 = \mu_1 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\frac{r_i}{z - x_i} - \frac{r_i}{z - \zeta_3 x_i} \right),$$

where $\zeta_3 = \exp(2\pi i/3)$, $\mu_1 = -v^2 + 2$ and

$$x_1 = 1, \qquad x_2 = 2 - v^2, \qquad x_3 = v^2 - 3.$$

Again, the factor μ_1 is chosen in order that the coefficients of the equation below become rational.

Corollary 3.7. *Up to isomorphism, the stable curve S_1 is given as the vanishing locus in $\mathbb{P}^2$ of*

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(X,Y,Z) = {} & 2X^4 - 3X^3Y + 6X^3Z - 3X^2Y^2 - 6X^2YZ + 6X^2Z^2 \\ & + 4XY^3 - 6XY^2Z - 6XYZ^2 + XZ^3 + 3Y^4 + 3Y^3Z \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 3.5, one checks that putting $X = -\omega_1^{(1)}$, $Y = \omega_1^{(2)}$, $Z = \omega_1^{(3)}$, where the $\omega_1^{(i)}$ are the three Galois conjugates of ω_1, we obtain the quartic relation (3.5). □

Note that the choice of the coordinates in the previous corollary is made in such a way that the choice of coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at $s = 1$ is consistent with the choice of coordinates at $s = \infty$. This will be clear after Proposition 4.4.

4. Equation of the the universal family over the Teichmüller curve

In this section we will prove the main Theorem 1.1.

4.1. **The Teichmüller curve as a family of plane quartics.** We want to realize the family $\overline{\phi} : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{C}$ as a family of plane quartics via the canonical embedding. Since some of the fibers are stable curves, we need to employ the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}/\overline{C}}$. It coincides with the relative canonical sheaf off the singular fibers. On a singular stable curve, its sections can be understood as meromorphic 1-forms on the normalization, whose only poles are simple poles at the preimages of a node and such that the residues have opposite sign.

For every $s \in \mathbb{P}^1$, let

$$\varphi_s : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_s \to \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s, \omega_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s})^\vee), \qquad x \mapsto (\omega \mapsto \omega(x))$$

be the canonical map associated with $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s$. Note that if we fix a basis $\{\omega_s^{(i)}\}_{i=1,2,3}$ of $\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s, \omega_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s})$, the map above is simply given by

$$\varphi_s : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_s \to \mathbb{P}^2, \quad x \mapsto (\omega_s^{(1)}(x) : \omega_s^{(2)}(x) : \omega_s^{(3)}(x)).$$

Proposition 4.1. *The canonical map φ_s is an embedding for every $s \in \mathbb{P}^1$. As a consequence, each $\varphi_s(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s)$ is a plane quartic.*

In order to prove the above proposition, we only have to check that there are no hyperelliptic curves in the family.

Lemma 4.2. *None of the curves $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s$ is hyperelliptic.*

Proof. Since the Teichmüller curve is in the stratum $(3, 1)$, the non-singular fibers cannot be hyperelliptc. Indeed if they were hyperelleptic, the flat differential would be an eigendifferential for the hyperelliptic involution and so the involution would fix or exchange its zeros. Being of different order, the zeros cannot be exchanged, thus they would be Weierstrass points, which is impossible since they have odd order.

The two cusps cannot be hyperelliptic either because of the shape of their equations described in Corollaries 3.7 and 3.5. □

Proof of Proposition 4.1. For every s outside the set of cusps, the canonical map is an embedding onto a non-singular plane quartic in $\mathbb{P}^2$, since by Lemma 4.2 every $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s$ is a non-hyperelliptic curve. Since the singular fibers $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{\zeta_9^i}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_\infty$ are 3-connected (see Figure 3), by [CFHR99, Theorem 3.6] or [Art04, Theorem 1.2] their canonical map is still an embedding and the image is still a quartic. □

4.2. **Setup for the equation.** The canonical embedding of the fibers of the family $\overline{\phi} : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{C}$ provides a rational map

$$\varphi : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^2 \times \overline{C}, \quad x_s \mapsto ((\omega_s^{(1)}(x_s) : \omega_s^{(2)}(x_s) : \omega_s^{(3)}(x_s)), s)$$

which is an isomorphism onto its image. By Lemma 2.2, the global sections $\omega^{(i)}$ can be chosen such that

$$\mathrm{div}(\omega^{(i)}) = k_i \cdot \infty, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \tag{4.1}$$

where $k_1 = 4$, $k_2 = 2$ and $k_3 = 1$. In the sequel, it will be convenient to use projective coordinates $(s_1 : s_2) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ for the base, so that $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is identified with $(1 : s) \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

The image of the map φ is the zero locus of a degree 4 primitive homogeneous polynomial whose coefficients are homogeneous polynomials in $(s_1 : s_2) \in \mathbb{P}^1$. We denote this polynomial by

$$F = \sum_{i+j+k=4} a_{i,j,k}(s_1, s_2) X^i Y^j Z^k \in \mathbb{C}[s_1, s_2][X, Y, Z]_4.$$

The aim is to compute the coefficients $a_{i,j,k}(s_1, s_2)$.

4.3. **Degrees of the coefficients.** In this section, we exhibit the main ingredient, which is central in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Using a structural result for the relative canonical ring of a family of quartics (cf. [CP06, Proposition 7.9, (2)]), we compute the degrees of the coefficients $a_{i,j,k}(s_1, s_2)$.

Proposition 4.3. *For every (i, j, k) with $i + j + k = 4$, the coefficient $a_{i,j,k}$ of the primitive polynomial F is a homogeneous polynomial in $(s_1 : s_2) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree*

$$\deg(a_{i,j,k}(s_1, s_2)) = 4i + 2j + k - 7$$

if $4i + 2j + k - 7 \geq 0$ or the zero polynomial otherwise.

i	4	3	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
j	0	1	0	2	1	0	3	2	1	0	4	3	2	1	0
k	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	4
$4i + 2j + k - 7$	9	7	6	5	4	3	3	2	1	0	1	0	-1	-2	-3

TABLE 1. Degree of $a_{i,j,k}(s_1, s_2)$.

An important element in the proof of this proposition is the fact that there is an identification between maps $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(l) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)$ and global sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m - l)$, which are identified with homogeneous polynomials if we consider the standard trivialization of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m - l)$. The choice of global sections (4.1) is made exactly in order to have this identification, when we consider maps of line bundles $\mathcal{L}_i \to \mathcal{L}_j$ and their canonical trivializations given by taking $\omega^{(i)}$ as a basis over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\}$ and $s_2^{k_i} \cdot \omega^{(i)}$ over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\}$, where $k_i = \deg(\mathcal{L}_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. As in [CP06], we consider the relative canonical algebra associated with $\overline{\phi} : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{C}$ whose graded pieces are direct images of powers of the relative dualizing sheaf

$$V_n = \overline{\phi}_* \omega_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}/\overline{C}}^{\otimes n}$$

and the multiplication map

$$\sigma_n : \mathrm{Sym}^n(V_1) \to V_n$$

given on a fiber over $s \in \overline{C}$ by

$$(\sigma_n)_s \colon \mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s, \omega_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s})) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s, \omega_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s}^{\otimes n}), \quad \omega_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_n \mapsto \omega_1 \cdots \omega_n.$$

Since the canonical embedding of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s$ is a plane quartic defined by the equation F_s, the standard exact sequence of sheaves induced by the embedding is given by

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}(F_s)(4) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H}^0(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s, \omega_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s})^\vee)}(4) \to \omega_{\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s}^{\otimes 4} \to 0.$$

This shows that the kernel $\mathcal{K}_4$ of σ_4 is precisely the ideal sheaf generated by F.

Now we can use [CP06, Proposition 7.9, (2)], which states that in the case of a family of genus 3 non-hyperelliptic curves, the kernel $\mathcal{K}_4$ of σ_4 is isomorphic to the determinant bundle of the push forward of the relative dualizing sheaf

$$\mathcal{K}_4 \cong \det(V_1).$$

To extract the coefficients of F, we look at the projections onto the direct factors of $\mathrm{Sym}^4(V_1)$ given by the decomposition $V_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{L}_i$ into eigenspace bundles for real multiplication. Let

$$\delta(i,j,k) : \mathcal{K}_4 \cong \det(V_1) \cong \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}_3 \to \mathrm{Sym}^4(V_1) \to \mathcal{L}_1^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_3^{\otimes k}$$

denote the composition of the above maps. By Lemma 2.2,

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{L}_3 \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(7)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_1^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathcal{L}_2^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathcal{L}_3^{\otimes k} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4i+2j+k)$$

where the isomorphisms are determined by the choice of the basis (4.1). Thanks to our choice of isomorphisms, the map $\delta(i,j,k)$ is given by a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4i + 2j + k - 7)$. Therefore,

$$\delta(i,j,k)(\omega^{(1)} \otimes \omega^{(2)} \otimes \omega^{(3)}) = a_{i,j,k}(s) \cdot {\omega^{(1)}}^{\otimes i} \otimes {\omega^{(2)}}^{\otimes j} \otimes {\omega^{(3)}}^{\otimes k}$$

where $a_{i,j,k}$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $(s_1 : s_2) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree $4i+2j+k-7$ or 0. By our construction, they are the coefficient of F that we were searching for. □

Note that the equality

$$a_{0,0,4} = a_{0,1,3} = a_{0,2,2} = 0$$

can be easily deduced also from the form of the divisors of $\omega_s^{(i)}$ given by Condition (2.1) with a local computation around the zeroes of the differentials.

4.4. **Conditions from the orbifold point.** Since the family over $\overline{C}$ comes from a degree 9 covering of the original Teichmüller curve, the coefficients of the polynomial describing this family must have an order 9 symmetry, which we want to understand. We use this symmetry in order show that most of the coefficients of the polynomials $a_{i,j,k}(s_1, s_2)$ vanish.

Proposition 4.4. *The coefficients of the dehomogenized polynomial $a_{4,0,0}(1 : s)$ are all zero with the exception of the top and the constant one, while for all the other non-trivial triples (i,j,k), all the coefficients of $a_{i,j,k}(1 : s)$ are zero other than the top one, namely*

$$a_{i,j,k}(1:s) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{4,0,0} \cdot s^9 + \beta, & (i,j,k) = (4,0,0) \\ \alpha_{i,j,k} \cdot s^{4i+2j+k-7}, & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $\alpha_{i,j,k}, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 4.5. The lifting of the action of the Galois group of the 9-sheeted covering $\tilde{C} \to C$ to an action on the family $\overline{\phi} : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{C}$ can be seen as follows.

A generator of the Galois group has a lift to an element of the Veech group, corresponding to an elliptic automorphism of $\mathbb{H}$ of order 9. Since the Veech group embeds naturally into the mapping class group, we obtain in fact an automorphism of the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_3$. We pass to an appropriate quotient $\mathcal{M}'$ of $\mathcal{T}_3$ that

is a fine moduli space finitely covering $\mathcal{M}_3$ and such that we have a factorization $\tilde{C} \to \mathcal{M}'$ and obtain an automorphism of $\mathcal{M}'$ fixing the image of $\tilde{C}$. By the universal property of $\mathcal{M}'$, being a fine moduli space, this automorphism lifts to an automorphism of the universal family over $\mathcal{M}'$, hence its restriction to $\tilde{C}$ gives an automorphism $\overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{\mathcal{X}}$.

We fix the Galois automorphism

$$h : \overline{C} \to \overline{C}, \quad s \mapsto \zeta_9^2 \cdot s$$

of the base. The lifted automorphism $H : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{\mathcal{X}}$ over h induces an automorphism of $\mathcal{V}$, which in the basis (4.1) is given as an element of $A_H \in \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}(s))$. The proof of Proposition 4.4 essentially boils down to using the identity

$$\lambda \cdot F_s(X, Y, Z) = F_{h(s)} \circ A_H(X, Y, Z)$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. We first need a lemma on the shape of the matrix A_H.

Lemma 4.6. *(a) H preserves the eigenspace bundles $\mathcal{L}_i$ and thus acts on the basis (4.1) by a diagonal matrix.*
(b) The matrix A_H is constant and given by

$$A_H = \mathrm{diag}(\zeta_9 : \zeta_9^5 : \zeta_9^7) \in \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. That H preserves the eigenspace bundles $\mathcal{L}_i$ follows from the $\mathcal{L}_i$ being irreducible and from Schur's Lemma. The diagonal entries of A_H must be constant in s since they are maps between line bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1$ of the same degree. Hence, we just need to find the action of A_H on one fiber in order to determine it completely.

Consider now the fiber over 0, which corresponds to the curve with the order 9 automorphism. The lifting automorphism $H : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{\mathcal{X}}$ must specialize to a primitive element of the automorphism group of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_0$. Thus by Corollary 3.2, the matrix A_H must specialize to a primitive element of the group generated by the matrix A_g. In order to determine this element, we compute how A_H acts on $\mathcal{L}_1$.

Since $\mathcal{L}_1$ is maximal Higgs, it is a Theta characteristic, i. e.

$$\mathcal{L}_1^2 \cong \Omega^1_{\overline{C}}.$$

Since the action of H on $\left(\Omega^1_{\overline{C}}\right)_0$ is given by multiplication by ζ_9^2, the action on $(\mathcal{L}_1)_0$ must be given by multiplication by ζ_9. This fixes the element in the group generated by the matrix A_g of Corollary 3.2, and so $A_H = A_g = \mathrm{diag}(\zeta_9 : \zeta_9^5 : \zeta_9^7) \in \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$. □

Now we can use the automorphism H in order to find symmetries of the polynomial F.

Proof of Proposition 4.4. The existence of H implies that the fiber $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s$ is isomorphic to the fiber $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{h(s)}$. However, since in our case we are considering canonical embeddings, we know more, namely that the locus of the polynomial $F_{h(s)}$ must be the same as the one of F_s up to a projective linear transformation of $\mathbb{P}^2$. Recall that with the fixed isomorphism $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega^1)^\vee) \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ given by the choice of basis (4.1), the canonical embedding is given by the map

$$\varphi_s : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_s \to \mathbb{P}^2, \quad \varphi_s(x) = (\omega_s^{(1)}(x) : \omega_s^{(2)}(x) : \omega_s^{(3)}(x))$$

and so the projective linear transformation of $\mathbb{P}^2$ that we are looking for is given by the matrix A_H.

Hence the condition imposed by the existence of H is

$$\lambda \cdot F_s(X,Y,Z) = F_{h(s)} \circ A_H(X,Y,Z) \tag{4.2}$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

In order to compute λ, we look at the condition for the constant coefficient $a_{0,3,1}$. This coefficient cannot be zero, since it is not zero for the fiber over 1 or ∞ by Corollary 3.5 or Corollary 3.7. Condition (4.2) yields

$$\lambda \cdot a_{0,3,1} = a_{0,3,1} \cdot \zeta_9^{3\cdot 5+7} = \zeta_9^4 \cdot a_{0,3,1},$$

whence we have

$$\lambda = \zeta_9^4.$$

Therefore, the other coefficients must satisfy

$$\zeta_9^4 \cdot a_{i,j,k}(1,s) = \zeta_9^{i+5\cdot j+7\cdot k} a_{i,j,k}(1,\zeta_9^2 s).$$

Using this condition together with Proposition 4.3, it is immediate to conclude. □

4.5. **Computation of the family.** Now we have gathered all the ingredients in order to prove Theorem 1.1 and write down the algebraic equation of the universal family over the Kenyon-Smillie-Teichmüller curve.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 4.4, it is sufficient to know the values of the coefficients $a_{i,j,k}(1:s)$ in two special point in order to determine them completely. Using the computation of $a_{i,j,k}(0:1)$ over the special point $\infty = (0:1) \in \overline{C}$ given by Corollary 3.5, we can compute all coefficients other that $a_{4,0,0}(1,s)$, of which we have determined just the constant term. Now we can use Corollary 3.7, where we computed the coefficients $a_{i,j,k}(1:1)$. The coefficient of the monomial X^4 of (3.5) tells us the sum of the top and the constant coefficient of $a_{4,0,0}(1:s)$. The explicit form of the universal family $\overline{\phi} : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{C}$ is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}(s^9+1)X^4 - 3s^7X^3Y + 6s^6X^3Z - 3s^5X^2Y^2 - 6s^4X^2YZ + s^3(6X^2Z^2 + 4XY^3)\\ -6s^2XY^2Z + s(-6XYZ^2 + 3Y^4) + XZ^3 + 3Y^3Z = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

If we exclude $s = 0$ and $s = \infty$, we can apply the projective linear transformation

$$(X:Y:Z) \mapsto (X:s^2Y:s^3Z)$$

of $\mathbb{P}^2$ and see that the family $\overline{\phi} : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{C}$ indeed descends to the family $\phi : \mathcal{X} \to C - \{0\}$ described by Equation (1.1).

We now identify, with a slight abuse of notation, P_t with $\varphi_t(P_t)$ and Q_t with $\varphi_t(Q_t)$, where $\operatorname{div}(\omega_t^{(1)}) = 3P_t + Q_t$. Then, by Condition (2.1),

$$P_t = (0:0:1) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_t = (0:*:*).$$

Hence, the point P_t is given by the intersection of the curve $\mathcal{X}_t$ with the line $X = 0$, thus $P_t = (0:1:-1)$. □

4.6. **The torsion map and the hyperflex.** The torsion map is the map (unique up to multiplication by an element of $\mathbb{C}^\times$) exhibiting the torsion condition $n(P_t - Q_t) = 0 \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(\mathcal{X}_t)$.

In order to compute it, we need to find a map $\mathcal{X}_t \to \mathbb{P}^1$ totally ramified at P_t and Q_t. In fact, [BM12, Section 13] suggests that the degree of this map is $n = 3$, since this is the case for the torsion map over the irreducible cusp.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. From Equation 1.1, it is easy to check that the point Q_t is a hyperflex and the tangent at P_t is a triple tangent passing through Q_t. It is trivial now that the projection from Q_t onto a line is the desired torsion map. The explicit expression of the torsion map can be given by projecting from Q_t onto the line $\{Z = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. □

It is interesting to notice how Proposition 1.2 can be proven without using Equation 1.1, only using that we are in the $(3, 1)$-stratum and that the points of the Teichmüller curve are canonically embedded as quartics in $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Alternative proof of Proposition 1.2. The torsion map is a degree 3 map $\mathcal{X}_t \to \mathbb{P}^1$ totally ramified at P_t and Q_t. It is not difficult to prove that every degree 3 map from a smooth quartic of $\mathbb{P}^2$ to $\mathbb{P}^1$ is a central projection from a point x on the quartic. Since $\mathrm{div}(\omega_t) = 3P_t + Q_t$ and the curves S_t are canonically embedded, the line $\overline{P_tQ_t}$ is a triple tangent at P_t. This triple tangent is also the projection line $\overline{xP_t}$ since the torsion map is totally ramified at P_t. Hence $x = Q_t$, namely the torsion map is the central projection from Q_t. Moreover, since Q_t is a totally ramified point of this map, it must be a hyperflex of the quartic curve $\mathcal{X}_t$. □

4.7. **Torsion map and real multiplication.** Now we want to briefly explain the relation between the torsion map and real multiplication in the case of Veech-Ward-Bouw-Möller Teichmüller curves and compare this situation with ours.

Let us recall the Bouw-Möller construction of the universal family $\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ over the completion of the Teichmüller curve uniformized by a triangle group. For simplicity, we restrict to the case $\Delta(n, \infty, \infty)$ discussed in [BM10b, Section 5]. They construct a particular family of cyclic coverings $\mathcal{Y}_t \to \mathbb{P}^1$, parametrized by $t \in \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$, which is branched over 4 points and admits an involution σ. This family descends to the universal family $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{Y}/\langle\sigma\rangle \to \mathbb{P}^1$ over the Teichmüller curve. One can construct the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y}_t & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} & \mathbb{P}^1 \\ {\scriptstyle \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H}_t & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tor}} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

where Tor is the torsion map.

Note that, even though we constructed the above diagram starting from the upper n-cyclic covering, one can build it also only from the torsion map, since this is indeed its Galois normalization diagram. The relation between real multiplication and torsion map is now easy to explain. Let $\tau : \mathcal{Y}_t \to \mathcal{Y}_t$ be the Galois automorphism of $\mathcal{Y}_t \to \mathbb{P}^1$. It induces complex multiplication by $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ on the Jacobian of $\mathcal{Y}_t$. One can check that real multiplication is given by the push-forward to $\mathcal{H}_t$ of the correspondence induced by the graph of the endomorphism $\tau + \tau^* \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}\,\mathrm{Jac}(\mathcal{Y}_t)$, which generates the totally real subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$.

Since by Proposition 1.2 we know the explicit form of the torsion map in the Kenyon-Smillie-Teichmüller curve case, we can compute its Galois normalization and check if real multiplication comes from a diagram analogous to the one constructed in the Veech-Ward-Bouw-Möller case.

Note that one can easily compute the ramification points of the torsion map. There are the two expected triple ramification points $\mathrm{Tor}(Q_t)$ and $\mathrm{Tor}(P_t)$ and other 6 double ramification points, which we denote by $x_i(t) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ for $i = 1, \dots, 6$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_t$ be the genus 2 curve defined by the affine equation

$$\mathcal{Z}_t : \qquad v^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{6} (x - x_i(t)).$$

By general considerations on how the Galois normalization has to behave on the ramification points of the map Tor, we find that the normalization diagram is given by the fibered product diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y}_t & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}} & \mathcal{Z}_t \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{X}_t & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tor}} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_t := \mathcal{X}_t \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathcal{Z}_t$ is the fibered product. The map

$$p : \mathcal{Z}_t \to \mathbb{P}^1, \quad (x, v) \mapsto v$$

is the quotient by the hyperelliptic involution, thus it is ramified over the points x_i.

Now we want to show that real multiplication cannot be constructed from the Galois normalization diagram of the torsion map as in the Veech-Ward-Bouw-Möller case.

Proposition 4.7. *Real multiplication is not given by a correspondence induced by the graph of an automorphism of $\mathcal{Y}_t$ that descends to the quotient $\mathcal{Z}_t$.*

Proof. Recall that the trace field of the Kenyon-Smillie-Teichmüller curve is cubic. Hence if real multiplication is given by a totally real subfield of the complex field induced by an automorphism of $\mathcal{Y}_t$, this automorphism has to be of order 9. Since the Galois automorphism of $\mathcal{Y}_t \to \mathcal{Z}_t$ is of order 3, this cannot work. We can already see that this is not as in the Bouw-Möller case.

Consider now an order 9 covering automorphism of $\mathcal{Y}_t$ descending to the quotient $\mathcal{Z}_t$. Since the order of the descending automorphism on $\mathcal{Z}_t$ has to divide 9, then it has to be of order 3 because there are no order 9 automorphisms on a genus 2 curve. We show that there is no such automorphism of $\mathcal{Z}_t$.

Recall that $p : \mathcal{Z}_t \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is the hyperelliptic family. Hence if there were an order 3 automorphism of $\mathcal{Z}_t$, it would commute with the hyperelliptic involution and thus would descend to multiplication by a 3rd root of unity on $\mathbb{P}^1$ in the appropriate coordinates. Since the 6 ramification points $x_i(t)$ must be preserved by the automorphism, they have to lie on two circles (any change of coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^1$ preserves circles). Using Proposition 1.2, one can however compute explicitly $x_i(t)$ and check that this is not the case. □

5. Picard-Fuchs equations

In this section, we forget how we found Equation (1.1) and we prove independently that this equation defines a Teichmüller curve. We will do this by showing that the absolute cohomology bundle splits as a direct sum of three rank two subbundles and that one of them is maximal Higgs. The main tool is the computation of the Picard-Fuchs equation associated to a local section of the $(1,0)$-part of the absolute cohomology bundle of the family via the Griffiths-Dwork method.

5.1. **Griffiths-Dwork method.** We quickly recall the Griffiths-Dwork algorithm for the computation of the Picard-Fuchs equation of a family of projective hypersurfaces. This method can more generally be used in the case of projective toric varieties. See [CK99] for more details.

Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a hypersurface of degree d defined by a homogeneous equation $f = 0$. We want to identify elements of $\mathrm{H}^{n-1}(V)$ with elements in $\mathrm{H}^n(\mathbb{P}^n - V)$ via the residue map. Any element of $\mathrm{H}^n(\mathbb{P}^n - V)$ can be represented by a form

$$\frac{P\Omega_0}{f^k}, \quad \deg(P) = kd - (n+1)$$

where Ω_0 is a section of the sheaf $\Omega^n_{\mathbb{P}^n}(n+1)$, which in fact is trivial, and P is a homogeneous polynomial. The residue map

$$\mathrm{Res} : \mathrm{H}^n(\mathbb{P}^n - V) \to \mathrm{H}^{n-1}(V)$$

is defined by the property that

$$\int_\gamma \mathrm{Res}\left(\frac{P\Omega_0}{f^k}\right) = \int_{T(\gamma)} \frac{P\Omega_0}{f^k}$$

for any $(n-1)$-cycle γ in V and its tubular neighborhood $T(\gamma)$.

Let $J(f) := \langle \partial f/\partial x_0, \ldots, \partial f/\partial x_n \rangle$ be the Jacobian ideal of V. The key ingredient of the Griffiths-Dwork method is the isomorphism

$$\left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]}{J(f)}\right)_{kd-(n+1)} \cong \mathrm{P}\,\mathrm{H}^{n-k,k-1}(V) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \ldots, n$$

where the subscript denotes the $kd-(n+1)$-graded piece and $\mathrm{P}\,\mathrm{H}^{n-k,k-1}(V)$ is the primitive part of $\mathrm{H}^{n-k,k-1}(V)$.

In other words, if a form $\frac{P\Omega_0}{f^k}$ has a high order pole, namely if $k > n$, we can find a representative of its cohomology class with a pole of order less than n. The key equality that allows to compute representatives with lower order poles is given by

$$\left(\sum_i G_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}\right) \frac{\Omega_0}{f^k} = \frac{1}{k-1}\left(\sum_i \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial x_j}\right) \frac{\Omega_0}{f^{k-1}} \in \mathrm{H}^n(\mathbb{P}^n - V). \tag{5.1}$$

Now consider a family of hypersurfaces $\{V_s\}$ defined by a varying polynomial f_s, and a form represented by $\omega_s = \frac{P_s\Omega_0}{f_s^k}$. The action of the Gauss-Manin connection is given by

$$\nabla(\frac{\partial}{\partial s})(\omega_s) = \frac{(-kP_sf_s' + f_sP_s')\Omega_0}{f_s^{k+1}}.$$

If we iterate the derivation many times, we find a form with poles of order greater than n. We can then find a representative of its cohomology class with a lower order pole by using Equation 5.1.

5.2. **Picard-Fuchs equations of the family.** The Picard-Fuchs equation of a family of curves with respect to a local section of the $(1,0)$-part of its cohomology bundle is the differential equation satisfied by the periods of the chosen local section. The set of solutions of the Picard-Fuchs equation forms a local system isomorphic to the dual of the local system associated with the irreducible part of absolute cohomology bundle containing the chosen local section. Therefore, Picard-Fuchs equations characterize the local system underlying the absolute cohomology bundle in a unique way. A nice exposition of Picard-Fuchs equations can be found in [BM10b, Section 3]. We will now prove Proposition 1.3 using the Griffiths-Dwork method.

Proof of Proposition 1.3. Let $\overline{\phi} : \overline{\mathcal{X}} \to \overline{C}$ be the family of curves described by Equation (4.3). We use this family, since it has unipotent monodromy around the 10 cusps. This will be useful, when we check that the absolute cohomology bundle has a maximal Higgs rank 2 subbundle.

Let F_s be the polynomial describing $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $D := \nabla(\frac{\partial}{\partial s})$, where ∇ is the Gauss-Manin connection.

Let ω be a local section of the $(1,0)$-part of the cohomology bundle at $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$. Since the cohomology bundle is of rank 6, the local section ω must a priori satisfy

$$a_0\omega + a_1 D(\omega) + \cdots + D^6(\omega) = 0$$

where a_i, $i = 0, \ldots, 5$, is a rational function in s with poles of order at most $6 - i$. The associated differential equation

$$a_0 y + a_1 \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^6 y}{\partial s^6} = 0$$

is satisfied by the periods $s \mapsto \int_\gamma \omega(s)$ for any locally constant 1-cycle γ of $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s$.

We now want to use the identification of $\mathrm{H}^1(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s)$ with $\mathrm{H}^2(\mathbb{P}^2 - \overline{\mathcal{X}}_s)$ via the residue map, and in particular the isomorphisms

$$\left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[X,Y,Z]}{J(F_s)}\right)_{4k-3} \cong \mathrm{H}^{2-k,k-1}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Note that in this case the primitive part is the full cohomology space.

Using the above identifications, one can check that a basis for the cohomology groups is given by

$$\mathrm{H}^{1,0}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s) = \langle X\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s}, Y\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s}, Z\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s}\rangle, \quad \mathrm{H}^{0,1}(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_s) = \langle X^5\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^2}, Y^5\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^2}, Z^5\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^2}\rangle$$

where the coefficient of $\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^k}$ are considered modulo the Jacobian ideal.

We fix the local section $\omega(s) = X\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s}$ and we want to find the differential equation satisfied by the periods of ω. A priori, we are searching for an order 6 differential equation, but we can check that indeed $\omega(s)$ satisfies an order 2 differential equation. We want to find rational functions a_0 and a_1 such that

$$a_0(s)\int X\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s} + a_1(s)\int \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(X\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s}\right) + \int \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}\left(X\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s}\right) = 0.$$

We can get rid of the integral sign and rewrite the above equation in De Rham-cohomology as

$$a_0(s)X\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s} - (a_1(s)F'(s) + F''(s))X\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^2} + 2F'(s)^2 X\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^3} = 0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_s).$$

Now we begin to apply Griffiths-Dwork method.

We can check that $2F'(s)^2X$ is in the Jacobian ideal $J(F_s)$. Hence we can apply Equality (5.1) and compute

$$2F'(s)^2X\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^3} = \left(G_1\frac{\partial F_s}{\partial X} + G_1\frac{\partial F_s}{\partial Y} + G_1\frac{\partial F_s}{\partial Z}\right)\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^3} = \frac{1}{2}G\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^2} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_s)$$

for $G = \left(\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial Y} + \frac{\partial G_3}{\partial Z}\right)$, where the $G_i(s)$ are some homogeneous polynomials in function of the rational parameter s.

We can now compute that

$$-XF_s' = \frac{9}{s}X^5, \quad \frac{1}{2}G - F_s''X = -\frac{81s^7}{s^9-1}X^5 \quad \text{in } \frac{\mathbb{C}[X,Y,Z]}{J(F_s)}.$$

Hence, we must have that

$$a_1(s) = \frac{9s^8}{s^9-1}.$$

By our choice of $a_1(s)$, we have

$$-(a_1(t)F'(s) + F''(s))X\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^2} + 2F'(s)^2X\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^3} = H\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^2} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_s)$$

for some $H = \left(H_1\frac{\partial F_s}{\partial X} + H_2\frac{\partial F_s}{\partial Y} + H_3\frac{\partial F_s}{\partial Z}\right) \in J(F_s)$.

Therefore, we can apply Equation 5.1 again finding that

$$H\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s^2} = \left(\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial Y} + \frac{\partial H_3}{\partial Z}\right)\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s} = -\frac{16s^7}{s^9-1}X\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{X}_s).$$

Hence, by setting

$$a_0(s) = \frac{16s^7}{s^9-1}$$

we are done.

Since we have found a differential equation of order 2, we have proved that the absolute cohomology bundle has a subbundle of rank 2, whose dual is isomorphic to the space of solution of the differential equation

$$\frac{16s^7}{s^9-1}y + \frac{9s^8}{s^9-1}y' + y'' = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

which has indeed regular singularities at the 9-th roots of unity and at infinity. It is easy to show that this differential equation is the pull-back of a unique hypergeometric differential equation

$$L_1(y) = \frac{16}{81t(t-1)}y + \frac{17t-8}{9t(t-1)}y' + y'' = 0$$

under the map $s \mapsto t = s^9$, which is the one in the statement of Proposition 1.3

Using the same algorithm, one can compute the differential equations satisfied by $\omega(s)^{(2)} = Y\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s}$ and $\omega(s)^{(3)} = Z\frac{\Omega_0}{F_s}$ and check that they are respectively the pull-back under the map $s \mapsto t = s^9$ of the unique differential equations

$$L_2(y) = \frac{4}{81t(t-1)}y + \frac{13t-4}{9t(t-1)}y' + y'' = 0$$

$$L_3(y) = \frac{1}{81t(t-1)}y + \frac{11t-2}{9t(t-1)}y' + y'' = 0.$$

Hence we have proved that the cohomology bundle splits as a sum of three rank 2 subbundles. □

Now, we want to prove that the local system defined by the differential equation $L_1(y) = 0$, or equivalently by the differential equation (5.2), is maximal Higgs.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. We can use [BM10b, Proposition 3.2] which relates the order of vanishing of the Kodaira-Spencer map to the local exponent of the associated differential equation in the case of unipotent monodromy. One can easily compute the local exponent of the differential Equation (5.2) and see that the Riemann scheme is given by

ζ_9^1	ζ_9^2	ζ_9^3	ζ_9^4	ζ_9^5	ζ_9^6	ζ_9^7	ζ_9^8	ζ_9^9	∞
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4

Since Equation (5.2) corresponds to a family with unipotent monodromies, by [BM10b, Proposition 3.2], the order of vanishing of the Kodaira-Spencer map at these cusps is 0. Hence by definition, the associated local system is maximal Higgs and it has to be irreducible. □

By [Möl06b, Theorem 5.3], if the absolute cohomology bundle associated with a family of curves over C has a maximal Higgs rank two subbundle, then the family is a finite unramified covering of a Teichmüller curve. By Proposition 1.3, this is the case for the family of curves described by Equation (1.1).

References

[Art04] I. V. Artamkin, *Canonical mappings of punctured curves with the simplest singularities*, Mat. Sb. **195** (2004), no. 5, 3–32. MR 2091637 (2005g:14057)

[BHM14] M. Bainbridge, P. Habegger, and M. Möller, *Teichmüller curves in genus three and just likely intersections in $G_m^n \times G_a^n$*, 2014, arXiv: 1410.6835.

[BM10a] I. Bouw and M. Möller, *Differential equations associated with nonarithmetic Fuchsian groups*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **81** (2010), no. 1, 65–90. MR 2580454

[BM10b] ———, *Teichmüller curves, triangle groups, and Lyapunov exponents*, Ann. of Math. (2) **172** (2010), no. 1, 139–185. MR 2680418

[BM12] M. Bainbridge and M. Möller, *The Deligne-Mumford compactification of the real multiplication locus and Teichmüller curves in genus 3*, Acta Math. **208** (2012), no. 1, 1–92. MR 2910796

[Bou01] I. Bouw, *The p-rank of ramified covers of curves*, Compositio Math. **126** (2001), no. 3, 295–322. MR 1834740 (2002e:14045)

[Cal04] K. Calta, *Veech surfaces and complete periodicity in genus two*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), no. 4, 871–908. MR MR2083470 (2005j:37040)

[CFHR99] Fabrizio Catanese, Marco Franciosi, Klaus Hulek, and Miles Reid, *Embeddings of curves and surfaces*, Nagoya Math. J. **154** (1999), 185–220. MR 1689180 (2000h:14029)

[CK99] David A. Cox and Sheldon Katz, *Mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 68, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR 1677117 (2000d:14048)

[CP06] F. Catanese and R. Pignatelli, *Fibrations of low genus. I*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **39** (2006), no. 6, 1011–1049. MR 2316980 (2008g:14014)

[KK77] A. Kuribayashi and K. Komiya, *On Weierstrass points of non-hyperelliptic compact Riemann surfaces of genus three*, Hiroshima Math. J. **7** (1977), no. 3, 743–768. MR 0472831 (57 #12521)

[KM14] A. Kumar and R. Mukamel, *Algebraic models and arithmetic geometry of Teichmüller curves in genus two*, 2014, arXiv:1406.7057.

[KS00] R. Kenyon and J. Smillie, *Billiards on rational-angled triangles*, Comment. Math. Helv. **75** (2000), no. 1, 65–108. MR MR1760496 (2001e:37046)

[Lei04] Christopher J. Leininger, *On groups generated by two positive multi-twists: Teichmüller curves and Lehmer's number*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 1301–1359 (electronic). MR 2119298 (2005j:57002)

[McM03] C. McMullen, *Billiards and Teichmüller curves on Hilbert modular surfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 4, 857–885. MR MR1992827 (2004f:32015)

[McM06] ———, *Prym varieties and Teichmüller curves*, Duke Math. J. **133** (2006), no. 3, 569–590. MR MR2228463 (2007a:32018)

[MMW16] Curtis T. McMullen, Ronan E. Mukamel, and Alex Wright, *Cubic curves and totally geodesic subvarieties of moduli space*, preprint, available at `http://math.harvard.edu/~ctm/papers/home/text/papers/gothic/gothic.pdf`, 2016.

[Möl06a] M. Möller, *Periodic points on Veech surfaces and the Mordell-Weil group over a Teichmüller curve*, Invent. Math. **165** (2006), no. 3, 633–649. MR MR2242629

[Möl06b] ———, *Variations of Hodge structures of a Teichmüller curve*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), no. 2, 327–344 (electronic). MR MR2188128 (2007b:32026)

[Möl13] ———, *Teichmüller curves, mainly from the viewpoint of algebraic geometry*, Moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013, pp. 267–318. MR 3114688

[PAR] PARI Group, Bordeaux, *PARI/GP version* `2.3.5`, available from `http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/`.

[Vee89] W. Veech, *Teichmüller curves in moduli space, Eisenstein series and an application to triangular billiards*, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), no. 3, 553–583. MR MR1005006 (91h:58083a)

[Vor96] Ya. B. Vorobets, *Plane structures and billiards in rational polygons: the Veech alternative*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **51** (1996), no. 5(311), 3–42. MR 1436653 (97j:58092)

[War98] C. Ward, *Calculation of Fuchsian groups associated to billiards in a rational triangle*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **18** (1998), no. 4, 1019–1042. MR MR1645350 (2000b:30065)

[Wri13] Alex Wright, *Schwarz triangle mappings and Teichmüller curves: the Veech-Ward-Bouw-Möller curves*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **23** (2013), no. 2, 776–809. MR 3053761

[YZ13] F. Yu and K. Zuo, *Weierstrass filtration on Teichmüller curves and Lyapunov exponents*, J. Mod. Dyn. **7** (2013), no. 2, 209–237.

Institut für Mathematik, Robert-Mayer-Str. 6–8, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt/Main
E-mail address: `costanti@math.uni-frankfurt.de`
E-mail address: `andre.kappes@gmx.net`